

EXECUTIVE SECRETARIAT**Routing Slip**

TO:		ACTION	INFO	DATE	INITIAL
1	DCI				
2	DDCI				
3	EXDIR				
4	D/ICS				
5	DDI				
6	DDA				
7	DDO				
8	DDS&T				
9	Chm/NIC		✓		
10	GC				
11	IG				
12	Compt				
13	D/EE0				
14	D/Pers				
15	D/OEA				
16	C/PAD/OEA				
17	SA/IA		✓		
18	AO/DCI				
19	C/IPD/OIS				
20					
21					
22					
		SUSPENSE _____ Date			

Remarks:

NSC review completed.

Executive Secretary

4/23/82
Date

SECRETNATIONAL SECURITY COUNCIL
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20506

Executive Registry

82-47846

CONFIDENTIAL with
SECRET attachment

April 22, 1982

DDI-3433-82

MEMORANDUM FOR L. PAUL BREMER III
Executive Secretary
Department of StateLIEUTENANT COLONEL ROBERT T. MEEHAN
Assistant for Interagency Matters
Office of the Secretary of Defense

25X1

Executive Secretary
Central Intelligence AgencyCOLONEL CHARLES F. STEBBINS
Executive Assistant to the
Chairman, Joint Chiefs of StaffSUBJECT: Minutes of Interagency Review Group Meeting,
NSSD 1-82, April 21, 1982Attached at Tab A are summary minutes of the NSSD 1-82
Interagency Review Group meeting held on April 21, 1982.*Michael O. Wheeler*Michael O. Wheeler
Colonel, USAF
Staff Secretary

Attachment

Tab A Minutes

CONFIDENTIAL with
SECRET attachment
Review April 22, 1988**SECRET**



● SECRET ●

Minutes of the
Interagency Review Group Meeting

NSSD 1-82

April 21, 1982

Participants

LTC Allan Myer, NSC Staff, Acting Chairman

Dr. Fred Ikle, DOD
Henry S. Rowen, CIA
LTG Paul Gorman, JCS
William Schneider, OMB
Robert Blackwill, State
Arnold Kanter, State
Leslie Brown, State
Dov Zakheim, DOD

[redacted] CIA
Bob Howard, OMB

John Eisenhower, OMB
Robert Kimmitt, NSC Staff
COL John Pappageorge, State
COL James Coyne, JCS
COL Clelland Downs, JCS
CAPT James McCoy, JCS
COL Bob Lilac, NSC Staff
CAPT John Castano, JCS
LTC Robert Linhard, NSC Staff 25X1
LTC Charles Seifert, JCS
MAJ Chris Shoemaker, NSC Staff

Time and Place: 1:00 p.m. to 3:00 p.m., April 21, 1982,
Room 305, Old Executive Office Building

=

MINUTES

1. Chairman's introduction

LTC Myer, as Acting Chairman, provided a summary of results of the NSC meeting of April 16. LTG Gorman took specific and unambiguous exception to one statement made concerning NSC decisions. It was agreed that the differing perspectives as to what was decided at the NSC would be brought to the attention of the NSC staff leadership. LTC Myer also noted upcoming events which will conclude NSSD 1-82. These events include the forwarding of Sections E and G to the President in his role as a player in the process, the dispatch of Part III, Sections D-G to include appropriate issue papers and discussion papers to NSC principals not later than the afternoon of April 23, and the NSC meeting at 2:15 p.m. on April 27. Finally, LTC Myer noted that the four sections discussed at today's Interagency Review Group meeting and associated issue and discussion papers must be completed in final form by close of business on April 22.

SECRET

Review April 22, 1988

SECRET

SECRET2. Part III, Section D (Nuclear Forces)o Discussion

Mr. Zakheim provided a brief summary of the 9-page paper which was previously approved by the Interagency Review Group. He noted that our nuclear program can be characterized by persistence and consistency. Discussion centered on the discussion paper, and it was recommended that the title be revised.

o Results

Section D, the 9-page paper, the one-page summary, and the discussion paper were approved by the group. After the matrix is revised, no further work is required.

3. Part III, Section E (General Purpose Forces)o Discussion

LTC Myer provided recommended changes agreed to by the Interagency Working Group on April 20. The Interagency Review Group then discussed three issue papers tabled by the State Department, one discussion paper tabled by the State Department, and one issue paper tabled by the NSC staff.

o Results

(1) Multi-Theater War issue paper: Given that agreement was reached on dropping contested language in Section E, State agreed to withdraw this issue paper; (2) State issue paper concerning relationship between strategy and land force expansion: Given that the group agreed to add a sentence which calls for consideration to be given to land force expansion, State withdrew this issue paper; (3) State issue paper on priority for flexible forces: After considerable discussion, it was agreed that if State wants to pursue the insertion of language relating to forces for Southwest Asia, the State Department should develop an appropriate issue paper; (4) State discussion paper on force development: It was agreed that the introduction of force development priorities should include a paragraph on the complexity of this issue and the interrelationships among priorities. With this understanding, State agreed to withdraw the force development discussion paper; (5) NSC staff issue paper on the priority between NATO and Southwest Asia: The NSC staff agreed to withdraw this issue paper on priority for flexible forces.

Bottom line: With accepted fixes and pending revisions, the consensus of the Interagency Working Group was that the paper was accepted for addressal by the NSC.

SECRET4. Part III, Section F (Security Assistance)o Discussion

LTG Gorman recommended that page 2, line 4 be revised to state that "security assistance is essential to meeting our security objectives abroad." With the exception of this one revision, there was no discussion of the 11-page paper which was previously approved by the Interagency Review Group. However, the issue papers were discussed and the results of that discussion required modification to the structure but not the content of the issue papers.

o Results

The 11-page paper and its summary were approved. The issue papers are to be revised, labeled discussion papers, and synthesized from 5 to 2 papers.

5. Part III, Section G (Force Integration)o Discussion

Dr. Ikle raised a number of concerns with the content of Section G and the summary page. These concerns included nuclear implications, the problem of protracted conflict, the integration of non-military elements of power in national security matters, and concepts of mobilization. State, JCS, and the NSC staff made the point that after considerable Interagency Working Group efforts during the past week, the paper met the requirements of their agencies. Given the short time available to revise language that had earlier been carefully crafted through the interagency process, a consensus was reached which indicated that adjustment within reason could be accepted by the Interagency Review Group.

o Results

The Section G Working Group will take OSD's recommendations under advisement and where possible will revise the paper. However, if major concerns remain, OSD should prepare the appropriate issue papers.

SECRET**SECRET**